



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,827	10/644,827 08/21/2003		Takeshi Fukuda	Q76740	6185
23373	7590	06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRU	,		LEPISTO,	LEPISTO, RYAN A	
2100 PENN SUITE 800		IA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20037	2876		
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/644,827	FUKUDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this a manusication of	Ryan Lepisto	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication ap Period for Reply	pears in the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 / 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been received in Applority documents have been received.	ication No ceived in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: -- Method For Forming an Optical Waveguide In the Interior Of Pure Silica Glass --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miura et al. Miura discloses an optical device that forms an optical waveguide in the interior of any kind of glass having high transparency (column 2, line 7), like silica glass. Miura discloses the method of forming this optical waveguide in the interior of glass as focusing a pulsed laser beam, having at least a 10KHz repetition rate (column 2, lines 42-42) on the glass to form a refractive index region (column 2, lines 7-10). The diameter of the optical waveguide is controllable by the power of the pulsed laser beam at the focal point (column 3, lines 66-68), which is controllable by the pulse width of the laser beam (column 3, lines 19-20), therefore being controlled by varying either the power or pulse width or both as stated in independent claims 1, 4 and 6.

Art Unit: 2883

The following quotes chapter 2131.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedures that is used to reject **claims 2-3, 5, and 7-11** as being anticipated by **Miura**:

2131.03 Anticipation of Ranges

A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE IN THE PRIOR ART WHICH IS WITHIN A CLAIMED RANGE ANTICIPATES THE RANGE

"[W]hen, as by a recitation of ranges or otherwise, a claim covers several compositions, the claim is anticipated' if one of them is in the prior art." Titanium Metals Corp. v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citing In re Petering, 301 F.2d 676, 682, 133 USPQ 275, 280 (CCPA 1962)) (emphasis in original) (Claims to titanium (Ti) alloy with 0.6-0.9% nickel (Ni) and 0.2-0.4% molybdenum (Mo) were held anticipated by a graph in a Russian article on Ti-Mo-Ni alloys because the graph contained an actual data point corresponding to a Ti alloy containing 0.25% Mo and 0.75% Ni and this composition was within the claimed range of compositions.).

PRIOR ART WHICH TEACHES A RANGE WITHIN, OVERLAPPING, OR TOUCHING THE CLAIMED RANGE ANTICIPATES IF THE PRIOR ART RANGE DISCLOSES THE CLAIMED RANGE WITH "SUFFICIENT SPECIFICITY"

When the prior art discloses a range which touches, overlaps or is within the claimed range, but no specific examples falling within the claimed range are disclosed, a case by case determination must be made as to anticipation. In order to anticipate the claims, the claimed subject matter must be disclosed in the reference with "sufficient

Art Unit: 2883

specificity to constitute an anticipation under the statute." What constitutes a "sufficient specificity" is fact dependent. If the claims are directed to a narrow range, the reference teaches a broad range, and there is evidence of unexpected results within the claimed narrow range, depending on the other facts of the case, it may be reasonable to conclude that the narrow range is not disclosed with "sufficient specificity" to constitute an anticipation of the claims. The unexpected results may also render the claims unobvious. The question of "sufficient specificity" is similar to that of "clearly envisaging" a species from a generic teaching. See MPEP § 2131.02. A 35 U.S.C. 102 /103 combination rejection is permitted if it is unclear if the reference teaches the range with "sufficient specificity." The examiner must, in this case, provide reasons for anticipation as well as a motivational statement regarding obviousness. Ex parte Lee 31 USPQ2d 1105 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993) (expanded Board). For a discussion of the obviousness of ranges see MPEP § 2144.05.

3. **Miura** discloses an optical waveguide forming method comprised of a pulsed laser beam with a repetition rate higher than 10KHz, preferable 100kHz or more (column 3, lines 42-45). **Miura** also discloses examples of this optical waveguide forming method, with one comprising of a 120 femtosecond pulse duration laser beam (column 4, lines 17). This falls within the claimed limitation of less then 420 femtoseconds as claimed in dependent **claims 2 and 8** and within the claimed limitation of less than 490 femtoseconds as claimed in dependent **claim 7**.

Art Unit: 2883

4. As stated in detailed action 3, **Miura** discloses an example optical waveguide created using a 120 femtosecond pulse duration laser beam. Dependent **claims 3 and 9** limit the pulse width range to 210-420 femtoseconds with the purpose disclosed in the specification being to control the waveguide diameter in a range of 10-14μm (page 8 line 45). **Miura** discloses an example that creates a waveguide with a diameter of 12μm with 120 femtosecond pulse width so the limiting range of dependent **claims 3 and 9** does not indicate that there is evidence of unexpected results within the claimed narrow range as required by chapter 2131.03 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.

- 5. **Miura** also discloses an additional specification of the laser beam to have a peak power density of 10⁵ W/cm² or more (column 3, lines 38-30), which lies within the claimed limiting range of less than 8.7x10¹¹ W/cm² as stated in dependent **claims 5, 7** and 8.
- 6. **Miura** also discloses an optical waveguide with the limitations discussed in detailed actions 1-5, which reject **claims 1-9**, so **claim 10** is anticipated by Miura by being an optical waveguide.
- 7. **Miura** also discloses an example an example of a optical waveguide formed by the above limitations that had a circular section, with a circle known to have an aspect ration of 1, of 12μm in diameter (column 4, lines 65-67), which is within the limiting range of 10-14μm and aspect ratio of 0.9-1.1 as stated in **claim 11**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM-4:30PM.

Art Unit: 2883

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAL 6/25/04

ral

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort